PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 842-019-6	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/US2004/012957	International filing date (day/month/year) 28 April 2004 (28.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 29 April 2003 (29.04.2003)]		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC ⁷ B25H 1/00				
Applicant SWAIN, Stephen, C.				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications i	relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VΠ	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.		mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but nakes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		
		Date of issuance of this report 04 November 2005 (04.11.2005)		

Authorized officer

Telephone No. +41 22 338 87 40

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Dorothée Mülhausen

MATERIA LIVIO		PATENT COO	PERATION TR	EATY	
INTERNATIONAL SE	ARCHING AU	THORITY		_	REC'D 2 4 NOV 2004
JACK M. PASQUALI			7		T904 1011 1 2
WAKE, FRESSOIA	MANI DED			P	OFF PET
				. 4	
I DIVVIDLOKD GEEEN	DITT DING TO	VE	777	D.TOWNS	
755 MAIN STREET, I MONROE, CT 06468			INTEDNAT	KILLEN O	PINION OF THE
	8		MILEMAI	IONAL SE	ARCHING AUTHORI
					ule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)
			Date of mailing	0011	011.000
Applicant's or agent's fi	ile reference		(day/month/year)	_22 N	OV 2004
842-019-6			FOR FURTHER	ACTION	
International application	No			See paragrap	h 2 below
1	110.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date	e (day/month/year)
PCT/US04/12957	1.00				<u>-</u>
International Patent Class	sification (IPC)	or both national classific.	ation and IDC	29 April 200	03 (29.04.2003)
LPC(7): B25H 1/00 and I	US Cl.: 144/286	.1. 286 5. 297. 1004			
Applicant		11, 200.3, 287; 108/145			
SWAIN, STEPHEN C.					
1. This opinion contains					
	mulcations relai	ting to the following iten	os:		
Box No. I	Basis of the o	and the tra			
		pinion			
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III					
Tron-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty invertible and the stablishment of opinion with regard to novelty invertible and the stablishment of opinion with regard to novelty.					
Box No. 11/	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and i	ndustrial applicability
Box No. IV	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg of invention	gard to novelty, invent	ive step and i	ndustrial applicability
Box No. IV Box No. V	Reasoned state	ement under Pule 425:	17-170		
K-7	Reasoned state	ement under Pule 425:	17-170		
Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; of	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .	17-170		
Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum	or invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> . I citations and explanations ents cited	I(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state		
Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum	or invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> . I citations and explanations ents cited	I(a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state		
Box No. V	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects	or invention ement under Rule 43bis. citations and explanations ents cited in the international appl	I(a)(i) with regard to a s supporting such state ication		
Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII	Reasoned state applicability; o Certain docum Certain defects Certain observa	or invention ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> . I citations and explanations ents cited	I(a)(i) with regard to a s supporting such state ication		
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION	Reasoned state applicability; o Certain docum Certain defects Certain observa	ement under Rule 43bis. Extending the service of th	I(a)(i) with regard to a s supporting such state ication Il application	novelty, inven	ative step or industrial
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminar Authority other than this	Reasoned state applicability; c Certain docum Certain defects Certain observa V tional preliminar y Examining A	ement under Rule 43bis. Estations and explanations ents cited in the international applations on the international aye examination is made, uthority ("IPEA") exce	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be out that this does not	novelty, inventement	ative step or industrial
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminar Authority other than this that written opinions of the second	Reasoned state applicability; c Certain docum Certain defects Certain observa N tional preliminar August Sone to be the II this International	ement under Rule 43bis. Entertions and explanations tents cited in the international applications on the int	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be opt that this does not A has notified the Internation in the so considered	novelty, inventement considered to apply where ernational Bu	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminary Authority other than this that written opinions of the sound of the s	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observa N tional preliminar of Examining Area one to be the II this International	ement under Rule 43bis. Extended and explanations and explanations ents cited in the international applications on the international ations on the international explanation is made, uthority ("IPEA") excepted and the chosen IPE Searching Authority will	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be opt that this does not iA has notified the Intell not be so considered	novelty, inventement considered to apply where ernational But	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminar, Authority other than this that written opinions of the present that the present that the present that the present the present that the present that the present that the present the present that the present the present that the present that the present the present that the present the present the present the present that the present the	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminary Examining Assone to be the II this International vided above, controlled the state of the II this International vided above, controlled the II this International vided the II this II th	ement under Rule 43bis. Entations and explanations ents cited in the international applations on the international ations of the international	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be opt that this does not iA has notified the Intel Into the so considered	considered to apply where ernational Bu	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminar, Authority other than this that written opinions of the present that the present that the present that the present the present that the present that the present that the present the present that the present the present that the present that the present the present that the present the present the present the present that the present the	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminary Examining Assone to be the II this International vided above, controlled the state of the II this International vided above, controlled the II this International vided the II this II th	ement under Rule 43bis. Entations and explanations ents cited in the international applations on the international ations of the international	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be opt that this does not iA has notified the Intel Into the so considered	considered to apply where ernational Bu	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminary Authority other than this that written opinions of the solution of the solution is as pro-	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminary Examining Assone to be the II this International vided above, controlled the state of the II this International vided above, controlled the II this International vided the II this II th	ement under Rule 43bis. Estations and explanations ents cited in the international applations on the international ations of the international	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be opt that this does not iA has notified the Intel Into the so considered	considered to apply where ernational Bu	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminary Authority other than this that written opinions of the company of t	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminar y Examining Acts one to be the Illihis International vided above, congether, where a A/220 or before Form PCT/ISA/2	ement under Rule 43bis. Estations and explanations ents cited in the international applications on the international applications on the international experiments ("IPEA") excepted and the chosen IPE Searching Authority will insidered to be a written appropriate, with amend the expiration of 22 months.	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be opt that this does not iA has notified the Intel Into the so considered	considered to apply where ernational Bu	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminary Authority other than this that written opinions of the solution of the s	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminary Examining Airs one to be the Illihis International vided above, congether, where a A/220 or before 13 orm PCT/ISA/2 of the sto Form PCT/ISA/2 of the store in the store	ement under Rule 43bis. Entert under Rule 43bis. Entert in the international applications on the international application of the chosen IPE Searching Authority will assidered to be a written appropriate, with amend the expiration of 22 months appropriate.	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state is supporting such state ication I application this opinion will be opt that this does not iA has notified the Intil not be so considered opinion of the IPEA, ments, before the exiths from the priority of	considered to apply where ernational Bu	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminary Authority other than this that written opinions of the second of	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminary Examining Arts one to be the II whis International vided above, congether, where a A/220 or before PCT/ISA/2 otes to Form PCT/ISA/2 otes to Form PCT/ISA/ISA/IIS	ement under Rule 43bis. Entations and explanations ents cited in the international applications on the international applications on the international experimental experiments of the international experiments of the expiration of 22 months of 22 months of 220.	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state is supporting such state ication I application I application I application I has notified the Interest in the	considered to apply where ernational Bu	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b)
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminar, Authority other than this that written opinions of the company of t	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminary Examining Arts one to be the II whis International vided above, congether, where a A/220 or before PCT/ISA/2 otes to Form PCT/ISA/2 otes to Form PCT/ISA/ISA/IIS	ement under Rule 43bis. Entations and explanations ents cited in the international applications on the international applications on the international experiments ("IPEA") excepted and the chosen IPE Searching Authority will ensidered to be a written appropriate, with amend the expiration of 22 months (220). [VISA/220]	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state ication I application I application I this opinion will be opt that this does not it is a notified the Interest in the Interest in the IPEA, ments, before the example opinion of the IPEA, ments is from the priority of the IPEA, ments in the priority of the IPEA, ments is a support of the IPEA, ments in the priority of the IPEA, ments in the IP	considered to apply where ernational But the applicant piration of 3 date, whichever	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b) is invited to submit to the months from the date of er exp. res later.
Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Box No. VIII 2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for internat International Preliminary Authority other than this that written opinions of the second of	Reasoned state applicability; of Certain docum Certain defects Certain observational preliminar by Examining Alsone to be the II this International vided above, congether, where a A/220 or before Form PCT/ISA/2 otes to Form PCT he ISA/US SA/US	ement under Rule 43bis. Entations and explanations ents cited in the international applations on the international applations on the international entry examination is made, uthority ("IPEA") excepted and the chosen IPE Searching Authority will ensidered to be a written appropriate, with amend the expiration of 22 months (220).	I (a)(i) with regard to a supporting such state is supporting such state ication I application I application I application I has notified the Interest in the	considered to apply where ernational But the applicant piration of 3 date, whichever	be a written opinion of the the applicant chooses an reau under Rule 66.1bis(b) is invited to submit to the months from the date of er exp. res later.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/12957

Box No. I Basis of this opinion
 With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. type of material a sequence listing table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. format of material in written format in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing contained in international application as filed. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:
4. Additional Confinents:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/12957

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rul applicability; citations and expl	le 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i anations supp) with regard to novelty, inve	entive step or industrial
1. Statement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-21	YES
	Claims	NONE	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-21	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-21	YES
	Claims		NO
2. Citations and explanations:			
Claims 1-21 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article pivoted and counterbalanced table support mechanito the tabletop-engaging portion of the linkage an ecombination with the rest of the claimed elements.	sm comprising a	tableton overlying a linkage a ni	ivot means injuing the table ton
Claims 1-21 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article claimed can be made or used in industry.	e 33(4), and thus	the claims have industrial applica	ability because the subject matter
•			

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

International application No.

INT	ERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/US04/12957
Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application		
The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the questions whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:		
The drawings are objected to under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(v) as lacking clarity under PCT Article 7 because: the drawings appear to be photographs and are unclear and illegible.		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. VIII) (January 2004)